Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 that ON OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component of a provides a staff security officer to a provides a national intelligence

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

DOD Office for a national intelligence

collection program

	00011
COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special S	
ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 1 DATE:	
EVALUATION:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? August 20, 196	
August 20, 196	2
what is (allest of the NRO Office	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity. August 20, 196 Based upon request of the NRO Office NO	
$f_{\text{res}}(s)$ still in force? Yes χ	
Is (are) the reference(s) section of this activity:	
= 1 $=$ 1	
mi - ontire intell. domining of resurces and methods	
The entire Intell. Community, Indeed, the order to the state of the entire Intell. Community, Indeed, the order to the order to the order to the entire Intell. Community, Indeed, the order to t	y No
	No
Do you rect the beneficiary (ies) feel it is so or less costly? Tes	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Tes	
If so, how? Probably being done as well as can be at present.	
Probably being done as well as	
. 1. a. 1. d. D.P. 1. 1. 0	ansferred
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be trated another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_2 to another and why?	
Do you leef that component or to another of the ano	
If so, where and why?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less	costly?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and	why?
Can another component as No X It so, which comp	+ 0
More properly? Yes NOX It has to do with Compartmentation & SSC has the knowledge in the light	9 10
the has to do with Compartmentation q 55	of
It has to do with Compartmentation guide the community. Boes the activity include anything questionable in the light watergate? Yes No_X If so, what?	
Watergate? YesNO_X	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued w	ithout
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued was significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes N	0
Can this activity on overall Agency scenario	
Significant	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you important than this one? Yes No_X If so, what?	feel is mor
heing pursued by OS that you heing pursued by OS that you	
Is there any activity not now borns No X If so, what:	
important than this one: 100	
IMPO L COLL	

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700012002839 sponsible for security policy related to the conduct IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: of two DOD/CIA national intelligence collection programs COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 2 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 May 1962 Agreement between DOD & CIA 19 August 1969 Agreement between Sec. Def. & DCI Yes_X No___ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Program administrators who need advice and guidance re. Security procedures to be used. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No XIf so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? SSC has the expertise in the Compartmented Field Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No XIf so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes____ No_X If so, what?

IDENTIFICA # POROVER FACE Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9
The Office of Security component that
provides security advisors in the COMIREX
and Sigint/Sors proceedings

	,
ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 3	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for Memo for Deputy Director of Se (SIGINT/SORS) Historical Prece for COMIREX) 17 January 197 Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of Members of SIGINT/SORS & COMIRE procedures are mandatory	force? Yes X No
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	t is still necessary? V
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No_X	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No χ If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X_
s there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R00070002002859 required

The Office of Security of two security to provide the services of two security IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: officers (One who is Chairman) to the USIB Security Committee's Subcommittee on Compartmentation COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 4 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Minutes of November SECOM Meeting dated 2 December 1974 SECOM M-165 dtd 2 December 1974 Yes X No____ Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? SECOM/USIB Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No_Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No_ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____No_X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_ This job doesn't relate to agency security If so, how? it relates to U.S.'s security Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X If so, what?

IDENTIFICAT Approyed For Release 2002/11/07:64-RDP83B00828R00070p020028-9that provides an officer to serve as the Talent Security Officer for the Intelligence Community

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 5	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for Aug 1969 (Responsibilities of the Di R of Security is TSO-when and ho not known. However a new TK Manual Isf (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	is being prepared which does Name a specific force? Yes X No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes A No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes NoX	rity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/19/07 fciaeRofs 5500 23 800 7000 20028 5 that provides an officer to serve as the 25X1A Security and Control Officer for the Intelligence Community	
ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 6 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security Center	
EVALUATION: DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Control System Manual P. 2 Para B of V 21 July 196 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No	56
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Table // GENCE COMMUN. ty Add PRIVATE	5X
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No_X If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?	-
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_ χ If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mor important than this one? Yes No_X If so, what?	те

Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R0007A9020928-9responsible IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of the Committee of the Comm for providing the services of a Security Officer to effect day-to-day interpretation of SIGINT Security Policy in relation to CIA activities ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 8 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Memo dtd 27 January 1970 to Deputy Director of Security from OS Executive Officer Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? CIA AND COMMUNITY Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No_X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes ____ No __ X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

for participating in the inter and intraagency committees concerned with the security of sensitive compartmented information. OS/P&M/Special Security COMPONENT: ACTIVITY CODE: II, B 9-DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Without being spelled out the Director of Security and Chairman SECOM have asked SSC to participate in these committees because of SSC's knowledge in the compartmented areas. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Beneficiary is any committee which receives SSC expertise and I use Do you feel the activity is still necessary! Yes X No No No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No XIf so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACT Release 2002/161/07f:fGLA-RDF83B00823R000700020028-9 responsible

IDENTIFICAT Approyed For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9 that The Office of Security Component provides security guidance to non-USIB Agencies related to the safeguarding of sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II. B. 10	COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security
EVALUATION:	DATE:
parp 1/14 for comportmented int	1 Security Regs-Annex D dtd Nov 73 o (this is very general) dtd 1 Jul 68 as amended gives DCI resp. for protecting force? Yes X No \sources &
The Non-USIB Community & ultima	tely U. S. Govt.
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	ecessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No_X_	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
V	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2002/11/07; CIA-RDP83E00822F000709020028-9that IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office Security surveys of non USIB facilities that will be used to house sensitive compartmented material.

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, II	COMPONENT: OS	S/P&M/Special Secur	rity nter
EVALUATION:	()	DATE:	
	•		
Missions & functions of the SS	SC (para 10)	10 August 1972	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity	y? Date(s)?	25X1A
National Security Act of 1947, as for control System Manual-for TALENT-August 1969 - Responsibly the reference (s) Still IV Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies)	ilities of the force?	es x No	S Eficer, CI <i>I</i>
All companies, agencies and orgs t facilities for TK info	hat require st	torage	25X1A
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff. If so, how?	1T 18 81111 HE	Cessary: 100 x	No_X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	nother office:	165 No <u></u>	
Can another component do the acti More properly? YesNo_X	vity more effi If so, which	iciently? Less co n component and wh	stly? y?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? YesNo_X_ If	g questionable so, what?	e in the light of	
, A			
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or ogency security	discontinued witho y? Yes No ^X	out (
Is there any activity not now beinportant than this one? Yes	ng pursued by No X If	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/1007:61A-RDP83B00828R000700020028-9that provides security indoctrination to personnel of nonUSIB Agencies who will have access to sensitive compartmented information

ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 12	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special Sec	curity
EVALUATION:	v .)	DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) f Missions and Functions (Para 4)	or this activit	y? Date(s)? 10 August 197	72
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies All non-USIB Agencies that g Compartmented game) of this activ	ity?	
Do you feel the activity is stil Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more ef If so, how?	it is still ne	cessary? Yes X	NoNo_X
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?			Eerred
Can another component do the act More properly? Yes NoX	ivity more effi _ If so, which	ciently? Less cos component and why	stly? /?
Does the activity include anythic Watergate? Yes No x I	ng questionable f so, what?	in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or d Agency security	iscontinued withou? Yes No_X_	ıt -
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	ing pursued by No X If	OS that you feel i so, what?	is more

•	IDENTIFICAT Approved For Folgase 2002	Men/O7 to Dec RDP83B008 or accrediting al	323 7000701020028-9 res	es as
	to	Security requir	ements	25X
			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
_	ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 13	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special Sec	curity n ter
	EVALUATION:	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE:	
	What is (are) our reference(s) Missions and functions of Section 102(d) National Se Control System Manu	CUPITY ACT OF 194	+ / • ·	25X
	Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(i facilities	1 in force?	Yes X No	25X
	Do you feel the activity is st Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fe Can the activity be done more If so, how?	el it is still ne	ecessary?Yes X	No_X_
	Do you feel that responsibilit to another OS component or t If so, where and why?	y for the activi o another Office	ty should be tran? Yes No χ	sferred -
	Can another component do the a More properly? Yes No	ctivity more eff χ If so, which	iciently? Less c h component and w	ostly? hy?
	Does the activity include anyt Watergate? Yes NoX	hing questionabl If so, what?	e in the light of	
	Can this activity be reduced is significant effect on overal If so, how?	n scope/size or 1 Agency securit	discontinued with y? Yes No	out (
	Is there any activity not now important than this one? Ye	being pursued by s No_X If	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

IDENTIFICATAPROMED For Release: 2002/161/07f: FGLA-RDF83B00823R900760020028-9 responsible for accrediting all TALENT facilities as to Security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE:	II, B, 14	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Specia	1 Security Center
EVALUATION:			DATE:	.;
What is (are) o Missions & Section 102	ur reference(s) for Functions of the S 2(d) Nat'l Security	or this activit SSC (para 10 g Act. of 1947	Ti') did ib Aug	ust 1972
	ference(s) still in the beneficiary(ies)			<u> </u>
All Talent	Facilities	•		
Do(es) the bene	activity is still ficiary(ies) feel y be done more eff	it is still ne	ecessary? Yes	_X No esNo_X
Do you feel tha to another OS If so, where	t responsibility for component or to a and why?	for the activitenother Office?	ty should be t ? Yes No	ransferred X
Can another com More properly	ponent do the acti ? Yes No_X	vity more effi If so, which	iciently? Les n component an	s costly? d why?
Does the activi Watergate? Y	ty include anythinesNoX If	ng questionable so, what?	e in the light	of .
Can this activi significant e If so, how?	ty be reduced in s ffect on overall A	scope/size or d Agency security	discontinued w	ithout o_X
Is there any ac important tha	tivity not now bein this one? Yes	ing pursued by No_X If	OS that you f so, what?	eel is more

IDENTIFICAT Approved For Release 2002/11/07: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020028-9
The Office of Security Component responsible for accrediting CIA emergency couriers for sensitive compartmented information.

ACTIVITY CODE: II B. 15	COMPONENT: OS/PGM/Special Security
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for Missions & Functions of the SS No other written authorization Policy developed from dialogue Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) Any component in CIA that deal compartmented info	between those in need & SSC for example force? Yes X No memo dtd of this activity?
Can the activity be done more effi	t is still necessary? Yes see Denow ciently or less costly? Yes No \overline{X}
*Beneficiaries probably see the operationally. But if we did not be carrying compartmented info	r the activity should be transferred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes Nox_	rity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNo_X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
•	
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATApproved ApriRelease:2002/41/07ffcberDP83B00828R0007000p2002849 responsible for accrediting all CIA COMINT facilities as to security requirements

ACTIVITY CODE:	II, B, 16	COMPONENT:	OS/P&M/Special	Security Center
EVALUATION:		0	<u>DATE</u> :	defreet
Physical Sec Missions & D	ur reference(s) for ons Intell. Securit curity. paras 94 & Functions of the SS	95 C (para 11)	Nov 73 10 Aug 72	and
Is (are) the reward who is (are) the	ference(s) still in e beneficiary(ies) All facilities	of this activ	vity?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Do(es) the bene:	activity is still ficiary(ies) feel i	t is still no	ecessary? Yes	
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where a	t responsibility fo component or to an and why?	or the activit nother Office	ty should be tr ? Yes No_	ansferred X
	oonent do the active? Yes NoX			
Does the activit Watergate? Ye	ty include anything es No_X If	questionable so, what?	e in the light	of
Can this activit significant es If so, how?	ty be reduced in sc Efect on overall Ag	ope/size or o ency security	discontinued wi	thout X
Is there any act important than	tivity not now bein n this one? Yes	g pursued by No_X If	OS that you fe so, what?	el is more

sensitive compartmented information COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II, B, 17 Center DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Nat'l Security Act of 1947, Section 102(d) protection of Sources & methods Control System Manual, Section V, (para B) dtd July 66 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? I suppose generally speaking, investigation of compromise enhances security of entire programs, so U. S. Govt benefits Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATABRIOGE Retretes 2002/41/07f Cla-RDP83B00823R0007000020028t9 responsible

for participating in the investigation of all incidents involving the compromise of

for the reporting and investigation of compromises of intelligence sources and methods through their publication in the public news media COMPONENT: OS/P&M/Special Security ACTIVITY CODE: II. B, 18 Center. DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Nat'l Security Act of 1947/Section 102(d) Missions and Functions of the SSC (Para 18) dtd 10 August 72 USIB Memo dtd 7 June 1971 "Public Disclosure of Classified Intell. Info. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Intell Community Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No XIf so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITYSE 2002/11/07 FFA-RDP83800823R0907000020028191 that

serves as the focal point within the CIA